

Linguistic Theory and Methodology,

7,5 hp

LIM011

Litteraturlista

HT19



Stockholms
universitet

Beslut

Litteraturlistan är fastställd av styrelsen vid Institutionen för lingvistik 2019-06-11.

Litteraturlistan är giltig fr.o.m.

Höstterminen 2019

Kurslitteratur

Chafe, Wallace. 1984. How people use adverbial clauses. *Berkeley Linguistics Society* 10: 437–449. (13p.) <https://journals.linguisticsociety.org/proceedings/index.php/BLS/article/view/1936>

Chomsky, Noam. 2014. *The Minimalist Program*. MIT Press. Chapter 3. A minimalist program for linguistic theory. 143–199 (57p.) <https://libris.kb.se/bib/18298681>

Croft, William. 2001. *Radical Construction Grammar: Syntactic theory in typological perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Ch.9: The coordination—subordination continuum, 320–358. (39p) <https://libris.kb.se/bib/18815868>

Geurts, Bart, Beaver, David I. and Maier, Emar, "Discourse Representation Theory", *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Spring 2016 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.), <https://stanford.library.sydney.edu.au/archives/spr2013/entries/discourse-representation-theory/>

Gonzalez-Marquez, Monica & Becker, Raymond B. & Cutting, James E. 2007. An introduction to experimental methods for language researchers. In Gonzalez-Marquez, Monica & Mittelberg, Irene & Coulson, Seana (eds.), *Methods in Cognitive Linguistics*, 53–86. Amsterdam: Benjamins. (34p) <https://libris.kb.se/bib/11946021>

Haspelmath, Martin. 2007. Pre-established categories don't exist: Consequences for language description and typology. *Linguistic Typology* 11 (1). 119–132. (14p) (available electronically via SUB)

Levshina, Natalia. 2016. Why we need a token-based typology: A case study of analytic and lexical causatives in fifteen European languages. *Folia Linguistica* 50.2: 507–542. (36p) (available electronically via SUB)

Newmeyer, Frederic. 2007. Linguistic typology requires cross-linguistic formal categories. *Linguistic Typology* 11 (1). 133–157. (25p) (available electronically via SUB)

Nichols, Johanna. 1989. Nominalization and assertion in scientific Russian prose. In J. Haiman and S. A. Thompson, eds., *Clause Combining in Grammar and Discourse*, 599–628. Amsterdam: Benjamins. (29p) <https://libris.kb.se/bib/14076315>

Traugott, Elizabeth Closs & König, Ekkehard. 1991. The semantics-pragmatics of grammaticalization revisited. In Traugott, Elizabeth Closs & Heine, Bernd (eds.), *Approaches to grammaticalization 1: Focus on theoretical and methodological issues*, 189–218. (30p) (available for consultation at Stockholm University library)

Van Valin, Robert. 2005. *Exploring the Syntax-Semantics Interface*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Ch.6: The structure of complex sentences, 183–224. (42p) <http://libris.kb.se/bib/11988860>

Ytterligare litteratur om högst 200 sidor tillkommer enligt studentens urval i samråd med läraren (se bifogad lista som orientering om vilken slags litteratur studenten kan välja ytterligare 200 sidor ifrån).



Referenslitteratur

Podesva, Robert & Sharma, Devyani. 2013. *Research Methods in Linguistics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Ender, Andrea & Lehmann, Adrian & Wälchli, Bernhard (eds.) 2012. *Methods in Contemporary Linguistics*. Berlin: De Gruyter. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/14693723>

Timothy Shopen (ed.). 2007. *Language Typology and Syntactic Description 2: Complex constructions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/12011689>

List of additional literature for individual reading. Each student chooses a maximum of 200 pages from three different works (with different theoretical and/or methodological approach) in one topic

Reported speech and quotation

Güldemann, Tom & Roncador, Manfred von. (eds.) 2002. *Reported Discourse: A meeting ground for different linguistic domains*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/11457122>

Güldemann, Tom. 2008. Quotative Indexes in African Languages: A synchronic and diachronic survey. Mouton de Gruyter: Berlin. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/13045766>

Tagliamonte, Sali & D'Arcy, Alex. 2004. *He's like, she's like: The quotative system in Canadian youth*. *Journal of Sociolinguistics* 8.4: 493–514.

Collins, Chris & Brangian, Phil. 1997. Quotative inversion. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 15.1: 1–41.

Kambon, Obadele & Duah, Reginald Akuoko. 2017. Non-African Linguistics Be Like „This is a New Way to Quote!“ *Ghana Journal of Linguistics* 6.2: 85–115.
<https://www.ajol.info/index.php/gjl/article/view/164004/153493>

Spronck, Stef & Tatiana Nikitina. 2019. Reported speech forms a dedicated syntactic domain: Typological arguments and observations. *Linguistic Typology* 2019 1. Target paper and discussion by Barbara Dancygier, Cliff Goddard & Anna Wierzbicka, Tom Güldemann, Gabrielle Hodge & Kearsy Cormier, Emar Mayer, William B. McGregor, Josep Quer, Ger Reesink, and Alan Rumsey.

Temporal clauses

Kortmann, Bernd. 1997. Adverbial Subordinators. A typology and history of adverbial subordinators based on European languages. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/14631322>

Hetterle, Katja. 2016. *Adverbial Clauses in Cross-Linguistic Perspective*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/19330159>

Heinämäki, Orvokki Tellervo. 1974. Semantics of English temporal connectives. Ph.D. thesis. The University of Texas at Austin.

Karttunen, Lauri. 1974. Until. *Papers from the Tenth Regional Meeting of the Chicago Linguistic Society*, 284–297. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society.

Swart, Henriëtte de. 1996. Meaning and use of *not...until*. *Journal of Semantics* 13, 221–263.

Iordanskaja, L. & I. Mel'čuk. 2009. Semantics of the Russian conjunction *poka* 'while, before, until'. In: Tillman Berger, Markus Giger, Sibylle Kurt & Imke Mendoza, Hg., *Von grammatischen Kategorien und sprachlichen Weltbildern – Die Slavia von der Sprachgeschichte bis zur Politsprache*. Wiener Slawistischer Almanach. Sonderband 73, 253–262. München.



Edgren, Eva. 1971. *Temporal Clauses in English*. Uppsala: Almqvist & Wiksell

Cause

Diessel, Holger & Katja Hetterle. 2011. Causal clauses: A cross-linguistic investigation of their structure and use. In: Peter Siemund, ed., *Linguistic universals and language variation*. Berlin; New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 23–54.

Couper-Kuhlen, Elizabeth. 1996. Intonation and clause combining in discourse: The case of *because*. *Pragmatics* 6(3), 389–426.

Couper-Kuhlen, Elizabeth & Bernd Kortmann (eds.), *Cause, Condition, Concession, Contrast: Cognitive and discourse perspectives*. Berlin; New York: Mouton de Gruyter
<https://libris.kb.se/bib/12248770> (Section I: Cause)

Claridge, Claudia & Terry Walker. 2001. Causal clauses in written and speech-related genres in Early Modern English." *ICAME Journal* 25: 31–63.

Ford, Cecilia E., and Junko Mori. 1994. Causal markers in Japanese and English conversations: A cross-linguistic study of interactional grammar. *Pragmatics. Quarterly Publication of the International Pragmatics Association (IPrA)* 4.1: 31–61

Kortmann, Bernd. 1997. Adverbial Subordinators. A typology and history of adverbial subordinators based on European languages. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/14631322>

Concession and contrast

Couper-Kuhlen, Elizabeth & Bernd Kortmann (eds.), *Cause, Condition, Concession, Contrast: Cognitive and discourse perspectives*. Berlin; New York: Mouton de Gruyter
<https://libris.kb.se/bib/12248770> (Sections III and IV: Contrast, Concession)

König, Ekkehard & Peter Siemund. 2000. Causal and concessive clauses: Formal and semantic relations. *Topics in English Linguistics* 33: 341–360.

Umbach, Carla. 2005. Contrast and information structure: A focus-based analysis of 'but'. *Linguistics* 43.1:207–232.

Martínez-Mira, María-Isabel. 2009. Spanish heritage speakers in the southwest: Factors contributing to the maintenance of the subjunctive in concessive clauses." *Spanish in context* 6.1: 105–126.

Dobrushina, Nina. 2008. Imperatives in conditional and concessive subordinate clauses. In Vajda, Edward (ed.) *Subordination and Coordination Strategies in North Asian Languages*, 123–141. Amsterdam: Benjamins. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/12264347>

Izutsu, Mitsuko Narita. 2008. Contrast, concessive, and corrective: Toward a comprehensive study of opposition relations." *Journal of Pragmatics* 40.4: 646–675.

Complementation

Dixon, Robert M. W. & Aikhenvald, Alexandra (eds.) 2006. *Complementation: A Cross-linguistic typology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/11945143>

Diessel, Holger & Tomasello, Michael. 2008. The acquisition of finite complement clauses in English: A corpus-based analysis. *Cognitive Linguistics* 12.2: 97–142.

Natsopoulos, D. et al. 1993. Algorithmic and heuristic strategies in comprehension of complement clauses by patients with Parkinson's disease. *Neuropsychologia* 31.9: 951–964.

Horie, Kaoru. 1993. *A cross-linguistic study of perception and cognition verb complements: a cognitive perspective*, Diss., University of Southern California.
<http://digitalibrary.usc.edu/cdm/ref/collection/p15799coll36/id/237377>

Boye, Kasper & Kehayov, Petar (eds.) 2016. *Complementizer semantics in European languages*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/19513476>

Schlobinski, Peter. 1988. Über die Funktion von nicht-eingebetteten aß-Sätzen im gesprächstherapeutischen Diskurs. Eine Pilotstudie. *Linguistische Berichte* 113: 32–52.
<https://libris.kb.se/bib/3418009> (MAG H 2.900)

Purpose clauses

Schmidtke-Bode, Karsten. 2009. *Typology of Purpose Clauses*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.

Jones, Charles. *Purpose Clauses. Syntax, Thematics, and Semantics of English Purpose Constructions*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Di Eugenio, Barbara. Understanding natural language instructions: the case of purpose clauses. ACL '92 Proceedings of the 30th annual meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics 120-127.
<https://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=981983>

Thompson, Sandra A. 1985. Grammar and written discourse: Initial vs. final purpose clauses in English. *Text-Interdisciplinary Journal for the Study of Discourse* 5.1-2: 55–84.

Aissen, Judith L. 1984. Control and command in Tzotzil purpose clauses. In *Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society*. 10: 559–571.

Nominalizations

Koptjevskaja-Tamm, Maria. 1993. *Nominalizations*. London: Routledge.

Rathert, Monika & Alexiadou, Artemis. 2010. *The Semantics of Nominalizations Across Languages and Frameworks*. Mouton de Gruyter: Berlin. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/12343317>

Vendler, Zeno. 1968. *Adjectives and Nominalizations*. The Hague: Mouton.

Lefebvre, Claire, and Pieter Cornelis Muysken. 2012. *Mixed categories: nominalizations in Quechua*. Vol. 11. Springer Science & Business Media.

Picallo, M. Carme. 1991. Nominals and nominalizations in Catalan. *Probus* 3.3: 279–316.

Baker, Mark C. & Nadya Vinokurova 2009. On agent nominalizations and why they are not like event nominalizations. *Language* 85.3: 517–556.

Code-switching, code mixing

Muysken, Pieter. 2000. *Bilingual speech*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
<https://libris.kb.se/bib/9786124>

Auer, Peter (ed.) 1998. *Code-switching in Conversation: language interaction and identity*. London: Routledge. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/9785136>

Bullock, Barbara E. & Toribio, Almeida Jacqueline (eds.) 2009. *The Cambridge Handbook of Linguistic Code-switching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/13546465>

Parentheticals

Dehé, Nicole. 2014. *Parentheticals in Spoken English*. The syntax-prosody relation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/16719674>

Gluck, Marlies & Ott, Denis & de Vries, Mark (eds.). 2015. *Parenthesis and Ellipsis: cross-linguistic and theoretical perspectives*. Berlin: De Gruyter Mouton. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/17305759>

Asher, Nicholas. 2000. Truth conditional discourse semantics for parentheticals. *Journal of Semantics* 17.1: 31-50.

Thompson, Sandra A. & Mulac, Anthony. 1991. A quantitative perspective on the grammaticization of epistemic parentheticals in English. In Traugott, Elizabeth Closs & Heine, Bernd (eds.), *Approaches to Grammaticalization* 2: 313-330.

Correlatives

Lipták, Anikó Klára. 2009. *Correlatives Cross-Linguistically*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/12271201>

Srivastav, Veneeta. 1991. The syntax and semantics of correlatives. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 9.4, 637–686.

Lehmann, Christian. 1984. *Der Relativsatz. Typologie seiner Strukturen, Theorie seiner Funktionen, Kompendium seiner Grammatik*. (Language Universals Series 3.) Narr: Tübingen. https://www.christianlehmann.eu/publ/Lehmann1984_Der_Relativsatz_OCRed.pdf

Paranhos Zitterbart, Jussara. 2002. *Zur korrelativen Subordination im Deutschen*. Berlin: De Gruyter. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/14617616>

Cecchetto, C. & Gerac, C. & Zucchi, A. 2006. Strategies of relativization in Italian Sign Language. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory*. 25: 945-975.

Finiteness

Nikolaeva, Irina. 2007. *Finiteness: Theoretical and empirical foundations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/12248467>

Klein, Wolfgang. 2006. On finiteness. In Van Geenhoven, Veerle (ed.), *Semantics in Acquisition*, 245-272. Dordrecht: Springer. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/11425567>

Wenzlaff, Michaela & Clahsen, Harald. 2005. Finiteness and verb-second in German agrammatism. *Brain and Language* 92.1: 33-44.

Subordination

Verstraete, Jean-Christophe. 2007. *Rethinking the Coordinate-Subordinate Dichotomy: Interpersonal grammar and the analysis of adverbial clauses in English*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter <https://libris.kb.se/bib/14207319>

Cristofaro, Sonia. 2003. *Subordination*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Laury, Ritva & Suzuki, Ryoko (eds.). 2011. *Subordination in Conversation: A cross-linguistic perspective*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/13252828>

Berman, Ruth A. & Nir, Bracha. 2010. Complex syntax as a window on contrastive rhetoric. *Journal of Pragmatics* 42: 744-764.

Haiman, John & Thompson, Sarah A. 1984. "Subordination" in Universal Grammar. *Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society* 10, 510–523.

Miller, Jim. 2006. Subordination in spoken discourse. In Brown, Keith (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of language and linguistics*, Vol. 12, 255–257. Cambridge: Elsevier.

Vries, Lourens de. (1992). *Clause combining in spoken and written language: evidence from the oral languages of New Guinea*. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam. Retrieved from http://home.hum.uva.nl/fdg/working_papers/WPFG47.pdf

Lehmann, Christian. 1988. Towards a typology of clause linkage. In: John Haiman & Sandra A. Thompson, eds., *Typological Studies in Language*. Amsterdam; Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company, 181-225.

Insubordination

Evans, Nicholas, 2007. Insubordination and its uses. In: Nikolaeva, Irina (ed.), *Finiteness: Theoretical and Empirical Foundations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/12248467>

Evans, Nicholas & Watanabe, Honoré (eds.). 2018. *Insubordination*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.

Elvira-García, Randy & Roseano, Paolo & Fernández-Planas, Ana Ma. 2017. Prosody as a cue for syntactic dependency. Evidence from dependent and independent clauses with subordination marks in Spanish. *Journal of Pragmatics* 109: 29–46.

Mithun, Marianne. 2008. The extension of dependency beyond the sentence. *Language* 84: 69–119.

Harris, Alice C. & Campbell, Lyle. 1995. *Historical Linguistics in Cross-Linguistic Perspective*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/13559602>

Conditionals

Traugott, Elizabeth Closs & Ter Meulen, Alice & Snitzer, Reilly, Judy & Ferguson, Charles A. (eds.) 2010. *Conditionals*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/11999908>

Oaksford, Mike & Chater, Nick. 2010. *Cognition and Conditionals: Probability and logic in human thinking*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/12921630>

Haegeman, Liliane. 2003. Conditional clauses: External and internal syntax. *Mind & Language* 18.4: 317–339.

Haiman, John. 1983. Paratactic if-clauses. *Journal of Pragmatics* 7: 263–281.

Elizabeth Couper-Kuhlen & Bernd Kortmann (eds.), *Cause, Condition, Concession, Contrast: Cognitive and discourse perspectives*. Berlin; New York: Mouton de Gruyter <https://libris.kb.se/bib/12248770> (Section II: Conditionals)

Clefts

Lambrecht, Knud. 1994. *Information Structure and Sentence Form. Topic, focus, and the mental representation of discourse referents*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
<https://libris.kb.se/bib/13556077>

Prince, Ellen F. 1978. A comparison of wh-clefts and it-clefts in discourse. *Language* 54.4 883–906.

Miller, Jim. 1996. Clefts, particles and word order in languages of Europe. *Language Sciences* 18.1–2: 115–125.

Jap, Bernard A. & Martinez-Ferreiro, Silvia & Bastiaanse, Roelien. 2016. The effect of syntactic frequency on sentence comprehension in standard Indonesian Broca's aphasia. *Aphasiology* 30. 11: 1325–1340.

Discourse topic, topic continuity and switch-reference

Haiman, John & Munro, Pamela (eds.) 1983. *Switch Reference and Universal Grammar: Proceedings of a symposium on switch reference and universal grammar, Winnipeg, May 1981*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/13257192>

Asher, Nicholas 2004. Discourse topic. *Theoretical Linguistics* 30: 161–201.

Stirling, Lesley. 1993. *Switch-Reference and Discourse Representation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/11989176>

Givón, Talmy. 1983. *Topic Continuity in Discourse: A quantitative cross-language study*. Amsterdam: Benjamins.

Serial verbs

Crowley, Terry. 2002. *Serial Verbs in Oceanic: a descriptive typology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/18815865>

Durie, Mark. 1997. Grammatical structures in verb serialization. In Alex Alsina, Joan Bresnan

& Peter Sells (eds.), *Complex Predicates*, 289–354. Stanford: CSLI Publications.

Haspelmath, Martin. 2016. The serial verb construction: Comparative concept and cross-linguistic generalizations. *Language and Linguistic Theory* 17.3: 291–319.

Helms-Park, Rena. 2003. Transfer in SLA and creoles. The implications of causative serial verbs in the interlanguage of Vietnamese ESL learners. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition* 25.2: 211–244.

Lefebvre, Claire (ed.), 1991. *Serial Verbs: Grammatical, comparative and*

cognitive approaches. Amsterdam: Benjamins. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/14131554>

Relative clauses

Matsumoto, Yoshiko & Comrie, Bernhard & Sells, Peter (eds.) 2017. *Noun-Modifying Constructions in Languages of Eurasia. Rethinking theoretical and geographical boundaries*. Amsterdam: Benjamins. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/19803970>

Lehmann, Christian. 1984. *Der Relativsatz. Typologie seiner Strukturen, Theorie seiner Funktionen, Kompendium seiner Grammatik*. (Language Universals Series 3.) Narr: Tübingen.
https://www.christianlehmann.eu/publ/Lehmann1984_Der_Relativsatz_OCRed.pdf



Herrmann, Tania. 2005. Relative clauses in English dialects of the British Isles. In Bernd Kortmann (ed.) *A Comparative Grammar of British English Dialects: Agreement, gender, relative clauses*. 1–123. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/9813683>

Epps, Patience. 2012. Between headed and headless relative clauses. In Comrie, Bernard & Estrada-Fernández, Zarina (eds.), *Relative Clauses in Languages of the Americas: A typological overview*. 191–212. Amsterdam: Benjamins. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/13550600>

Friedmann, Naama & Novogrodsky, Rama. 2004. The acquisition of relative clause comprehension in Hebrew: a study of SLI and normal development. *Journal of Child Language* 31.3: 661–681.

Hsiao, Franny, and Edward Gibson. 2003. Processing relative clauses in Chinese. *Cognition* 90.1: 3–27

Want-clauses

Goddard, Cliff & Wierzbicka, Anna. 2010. ‘Want’ is a lexical and conceptual universal. *Studies in Language* 34(1):108–123

Haspelmath, Martin. 2005. ‘Want’ complement subjects. In Haspelmath, Martin & Dryer, Matthew & Gil, David & Comrie, Bernard (eds.) 2005. *The World Atlas of Language Structures* 124. Oxford: OUP.

Khanina, Olesya. 2008. How universal is ‘wanting’? *Studies in Language* 32(4):818–865.

Tomasello, Michael. 2003. *Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition*. Harvard University Press. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/12247167>

Bloom, L., J. Tackeff and M. Lahey. 1984. Learning “to” in complement constructions. *Journal of Child Language* 11: 391–406.

Guerrero, Lilián. 2008. Alternative expressions of “want” complements. Robert D. Van Valin (ed.) *Investigations of the syntax-semantics-pragmatics interface*: 321–336. <https://libris.kb.se/bib/14454369>

Comparison

Stassen, Leon. 1985. *Comparison and Universal Grammar*. Oxford: Blackwell.

Del Prete, Fabio. 2008. A non-uniform semantic analysis of the Italian temporal connectives *prima* and *dopo*. *Natural Language Semantics* 16, 157–203.

Bücking, Sebastian. 2017. Composing *wie wenn*—the semantics of hypothetical comparison clauses in German. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 35.4: 979–1025.

Gajewski, Jon. 2008. More on quantifiers in comparative clauses. *Semantics and Linguistic Theory*. Vol. 18.

Napoli, Donna Jo. 1983. Comparative ellipsis: A phrase structure analysis. *Linguistic Inquiry* 14.4: 675–694.

Hoeksema, Jack. 1983. Negative polarity and the comparative. *Natural Language & Linguistic Theory* 1.3: 403–434.



Stockholms
universitet